UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

R.R. and D.R. o/b/o M.R.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

AFFIDAVIT OF JENNIFER M. FRANKOLA

--against--

08 CV 0247 (BSJ) (FM)

SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT,

Defendant-Appellee.

STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JENNIFER M. FRANKOLA, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. I am a law clerk and law graduate awaiting admission¹ at Mayerson & Associates, counsel to plaintiffs M.R. and her parents, R.R. and D.R. I have worked closely on this case since March 2008 to present. As such, I possess the knowledge of the facts and circumstances surrounding this proceeding. I make this Affidavit in response to Defendant's Motion and Affidavit dated July 30, 2008.
- 2. Plaintiffs commenced this proceeding by filing of a Summons and Complaint on January 11, 2008. This action was then assigned to Honorable Barbara Jones, U.S.D.J. and Honorable Frank Maas, U.S.M.J. Defendant-appellee was served with process on or about January 11, 2008.
- 3. On March 24, 2008, plaintiffs-appellants submitted a letter to this Court setting forth a proposed Scheduling Order for this action, as requested by the Court. In this proposed Scheduling Order, plaintiffs-appellants made clear that the respective parties were submitting their motion papers for an IDEA-based modified *de novo* review. Plaintiffs-appellants even

¹ I passed the New York State Bar Examination and have submitted all the required papers.

indicated in a footnote that, "these motions were not truly "summary judgment" motions as the trial had already occurred." (See Exhibit "A" attached hereto.) Magistrate Maas endorsed plaintiffs-appellants' letter² as the Scheduling Order and canceled the previously scheduled Case Management Conference.

- 4. In subsequent letters to the Court requesting adjournments dated May 23, May 29, and June 10, 2008, plaintiffs-appellants reiterated that the motions were being submitted as motions for modified *de novo* review. (See Exhibit "B" attached hereto.)
- 5. On June 30, 2008, I served plaintiffs-appellants' respective memorandum of law on the motions for modified *de novo* review, the Affidavit of Gary S. Mayerson, and Exhibits A-D. I made service on Stephanie Roebuck, Esq. via e-mail and Federal Express as previously consented by defendant-appellee's counsel. After reading Magistrate Maas' and Judge Jones' rules, I sent a copy of our papers via hand delivery to Magistrate Maas' chambers and to the Clerk of Court. My understand of Judge Jones' rules is that only one courtesy copy all motion papers should be submitted by the moving party to Judge Jones' Chambers only after all reply papers have been fully briefed and filed.
- 6. On June 30, 2008, I also *attempted* to file via ECF, but was unable to access the ECF system for the Southern District of New York that afternoon due to computer problems that day in our office. After reading Magistrate Maas' rules that stated, "motion papers shall be filed promptly after service," I called Magistrate Maas' chambers and asked if I could file via ECF the next day since I was having computer difficulties that evening. The clerk I spoke to told me that as long as I filed our papers within a day, then it would be sufficient. On June 30, 208I sent our papers via e-mail and had a legal intern, Kate Goldwasser, also send a facsimile to Stephanie Roebuck, Esq. just in case the e-mail did not go through. Both the facsimile and the e-mail were

² Accordingly, as the authorities set forth in the accompanying memorandum of law (e.g., <u>Lillibask</u>) the "label" that defendant-appellee assigned for its own motion is neither controlling nor appropriate.

Case 1:08-cv-00247-BSJ-FM Document 33 Filed 09/08/2008 Page 3 of 3

successful in their transmission. (See Exhibit "C" attached hereto.) On July 1, 2008, i.e., the very

next morning, I successfully filed our motion papers via ECF. (See Exhibit "D" attached hereto.)

7. It should be noted, too, that the Court's ECF system does not have a listed, specific

procedural mechanism for plaintiffs-appellants to file motions seeking an IDEA-based modified

de novo review. The closest title I could closely relate plaintiffs-appellants' motion to was to a

motion for summary judgment. At all times, however, plaintiffs-appellants' motions were

labeled as motions for modified de novo review. The accompanying memorandum of law

denotes that, as a matter os law, this is not a true motion for summary judgment requiring a Rule

56.1 Statement.

8. As stated in our previous submissions, and in view of the applicable standard of review

and the foregoing authorities, we ask this Court to rule as a threshold matter that there is no

requirement that plaintiffs-appellants submit a Rule 56.1 Statement, either on their own accord or

in "response" to defendant's Rule 56.1 Statement. To the extent, if at all, that this Court would

rule to the contrary (i.e. that it considers a Rule 56.1 Statement as necessary in an action of this

type), we would ask only that this Court give plaintiffs-appellants notice and a reasonable

opportunity to make a supplemental submission consisting of a Rule 56.1 Statement.

Jennifer M. Frankola

Sworn to before me this.

day of

2008

Votery Publie

GARY S. MAYERSON

NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF NEW YORK

NO. 02MA6165164

QUALIFIED IN NEW YORK COUNTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

R.R. and D.R. o/b/o M.R.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

--against--

08 CV 0247 (BSJ) (FM)

SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT,

Defendant-Appellee.

I, Jennifer M. Frankola, being duly sworn, deposes and says that I am law clerk (pending admission to the NYS Bar) employed by Mayerson & Associates, counsel for plaintiff and that I am over the age of eighteen years; that on the 8th day of September at 330 West 38th Street, in the city of New York, I timely served copy of the Affidavit of Jennifer M. Frankola with Exhibits A-D, and Plaintiffs-Appellants' Reply Memorandum of Law on Defendant-Appellee via e-mail and Federal Express as consented by defendant's counsel, Stephanie Roebuck, Esq., to the following address: Keane & Beane, P.C., 445 Hamilton Avenue, 15th Floor, White Plains, New York 10601 and sroebuck@kblaw.com.

M. Frankola

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 8th, day of September, 2008

Notary Public

GARY S. MAYERSON PUBLIC - STATE OF NEW YORK No. 02MA6165164 QUALIFIED IN NEW YORK COUNTY COMMISSION EXPIRES MAY 7, 2011

Mayerson & Associates

330 W. 38th Street, Suite 600 New York, New York 10018

mayerslaw.com

GARY S. MAYERSON * ◆ CHRISTINA D. THIVIERGE RANDI M. ROTHBERG

* ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW TERSEY

ALSO ADMITTED IN FLORIDA

TEL: 212.265.7200 FAX: 212,265.1735

E-MAIL: GARY@MAYERSLAW.com

March 24, 2008

<u>VIA FACSIMILE & REGULAR MAIL</u> Honorable Frank Maas U.S.M.J. United States District Court Southern District of New York

500 Pearl Street, Room 20A New York, NY 10007-1312

MEMO ENDORSED

Re: R.R. and D.R. o/b/o M.R. v. Scarsdale Union Free School District 08 CIV. 247 (BSV) (FM)

Dear Judge Maas:

We represent the plaintiffs-appellants in the above matter. We are in receipt of counsel's March 24, 2008 letter to Your Flonor.

We agree that there is no need for any discovery and that a waiver of the joint pretrial order would be appropriate. We would request, however, an earlier briefing schedule, as follows:

June 1, 2008--parties' respective motions for modified de novo review*

July 3, 2008--parties' opposing papers

July 1 2008--parties' reply papers

This schedule is adopted and he previously scheduled Creference is concelled. All freture communications Shall bea The foll docket nouser of The

7Maca, USMJ, 3/20/08

cc: Eric L. Gordon Esq.

¹These motions are not truly "summary judgment" motions as the trial has already occurred.

MEMO ENDORSED & ASSOCIATES

330 WEST 38TH STREET, SUITE 600 • NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10018 PHONE (212) 265-7200 • FAX (212) 265-7735 • EMAIL: ADMIN@MAYERSLAW.COM

WWW.MAYERSLAW.COM

May 23, 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY and FACSIMILE APPLICATION GRANTED

Honorable Frank Maas, U.S.M.J. United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 20A New York, NY 10007-1312

Fax: (212) 805-6724

APPLICATION GRANTED
SO ORDERED

Frank Maas, USMI =/28/08

Re: R.R. and D.R. o/b/o M.R. v. Scarsdale UFSD, 08-CV-0247

Dear Judge Maas:

As you are aware, we represent the plaintiffs-appellants in the above referenced matter. We respectfully ask that your Honor endorse this letter requesting a further 2 week extension of time to allow the parties to serve their respective motions for modified de novo review. This is plaintiffs-appellants second request for such extension. This would change the original deadline from June 1, 2008 to June 15, 2008.

This change would affect the briefing schedule as follows:

June 15, 2008--parties' respective motions for modified de novo review²

July 17, 2008--parties' opposing papers

August 8, 2008--parties' reply papers

We have contacted the office of Keane and Beane, P.C., counsel for the defendants, and spoke with Ms. Stephanie M. Roebuck, who has consented to this request.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Gary S. Mayerson

cc: Eric L. Gordon, Esq. and Stephanie M. Roebuck, Esq. via facsimile at (914) 946-6868

²These motions are not truly "summary judgment" motions as the trial has already occurred.

Please note that an original letter was sent earlier via hand delivery and did not indicate the facsimile number.

MEMO ENDORSED

MAYERSON & ASSOCIATES

330 WEST 38TH STREET, SUITE 600 • NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10018
PHONE (212) 265-7200 • FAX (212) 265-1735 • EMAIL: ADMIN@MAYERSLAW.COM

WWW_MAYERSLAW.COM

May 29, 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY and FACSIMILE

Honorable Frank Maas, U.S.M.J. United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 20A New York, NY 10007-1312 Fax: (212) 805-6724

APPLICATION GRANTED SO ORDERED

Frank Maas, USMJ 5/29/08

Re: R.R. and D.R. o/b/o M.R. v. Scarsdale UFSD, 08-CV-0247

Dear Judge Maas:

As you are aware, we represent the plaintiffs-appellants in the above referenced matter. Thank you for endorsing the letter we sent on May 23, 2008. It has just come to our attention that the first deadline we requested for submission of modified *de novo* review, June 15, 2008, inconveniently falls on a Sunday. It is for this reason that we now respectfully ask that your Honor endorse this letter requesting that the date for submission of motions for modified *de novo* review be changed to Monday, June 16, 2008. We do not request that any changes be made to the remaining dates.

This change would affect the briefing schedule as follows:

June 16, 2008--parties' respective motions for modified de novo review¹

July 17, 2008--parties' opposing papers

August 8, 2008--parties' reply papers

We have contacted the office of Keane and Beane, P.C., counsel for the defendants, and Ms. Stephanie M. Roebuck has consented to this request.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Gary S. Mayerson

Siderely

cc: Eric L. Gordon, Esq. and Stephanie M. Roebuck, Esq. via facsimite at (914) 946-6868

¹These motions are not truly "summary judgment" motions as the trial has already occurred.

MEMO ENDORSED

Mayerson & Associates

330 WEST 38TH STREET, SUITE 600 - NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10018 PHONE (212) 265-7200 • FAX (212) 265-1735 • EMAIL: ADMIN@MAYERSLAW.COM

WWW.MAYERSLAW.COM

June 10, 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY and FACSIMILE¹

Honorable Frank Maas, U.S.M.J. United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 20A New York, NY 10007-1312

Fax: (212) 805-6724

fortun externous unless supported

Re: R.R. and D.R. o/b/o M.R. v. Scarsdale UFSD, 08-C

Maa, USMI,

Dear Judge Maas:

As you are aware, we represent the plaintiffs-appellants in the above referenced matter. We respectfully ask that your Honor endorse this letter requesting a further 2 week extension of time to allow the parties to serve their respective motions for modified de novo review. This is plaintiffs-appellants third request for such extension. We sincerely apologize for requesting yet another extension, and appreciate the court's and opposing counsel's understanding that we make these requests because of the impact that the recent loss of two experienced associates has had on our firm.

This extension would change the last set deadline from June 16, 2008 to June 30, 2008 and would affect the briefing schedule as follows:

June 30, 2008-parties' respective motions for modified de novo review²

July 30, 2008—parties' opposing papers

August 21, 2008-parties' reply papers

We have contacted the office of Keane and Boane, P.C., counsel for the defendants, and spoke with Ms. Stephanie M. Roebuck, who has consented to this request.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Jessica Stein

Legal Intern

cc: Eric L. Gordon, Esq. and Stephanie M. Roebuck, Esq. via facsimile at (914) 946-6868

¹ Please note that an original letter was sent carlier via hand delivery and did not indicate the facsimile number.

²These motions are not truly "summary judgment" motions as the trial has already occurred.

Jennifer Frankola

From: Roebuck, Stephanie M. [SRoebuck@kblaw.com]

Sent: Monday, June 30, 2008 10:09 AM

Jessica Stein To: Cc: Jennifer Frankola Subject: RE: Rifkin Papers

Sure, I will do the same if that is okay with you.

Stephanie Roebuck

Stephanie M. Roebuck Keane & Beane, P.C. 445 Hamilton Avenue, 15th Floor White Plains, New York 10601 914-946-4777 tel 914-946-6868 fax

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

The Information transmitted herein may contain privileged and/or confidential material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon information herein by persons or entities other than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. Any misdirection or other error in the transmission of this information is not and shall not be considered a waiver of any applicable privileges.

From: Jessica Stein [mailto:jessica@mayerslaw.com]

Sent: Monday, June 30, 2008 10:08 AM

To: Roebuck, Stephanie M. Cc: Jennifer Frankola Subject: Rifkin Papers

Dear Ms. Roebuck:

I am writing on behalf of Mayerson & Associates to respectfully request your permission to send you a copy of the papers we are serving today in regards to the Rifkin case via e-mail as well as by fed-ex (the fed-ex copy should arrive at your office tomorrow).

Please let me know at your earliest convenience. Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Jessica Stein Legal Intern Mayerson & Associates

Jennifer Frankola

From: Jennifer Frankola [jennifer@mayerslaw.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2008 4:47 PM

To: 'Roebuck, Stephanie M.'; 'Gordon, Eric L.'

Cc: 'mauricio@mayerslaw.com'; 'Jessica Stein'; 'Kate'

Subject: Rifkin v. Scarsdale

Attachments: 7-30-08 response to 56.1 statement.pdf; 7-30-08 FINAL ResponseMemoofLaw[GSM].pdf; 7-

30-08 Letter to Judge Jones.pdf; 7-30-08 TOCA FINAL Response Memo of Law.pdf; 7-30-

08 Affidavit of Service- Jessica.pdf

Dear Stephanie:

Attached, please find plaintiffs-appellants' response papers. You will receive copies via facsimile and Fed Ex,

Sincerely.

Jennifer

Jennifer Frankola, M.A., J.D. Mayerson and Associates 330 West 38th Street Suite 600 New York, New York 10018 212,265,7200 212.265.1735 Fax PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

The Information transmitted herein may contain privileged and/or confidential material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon information herein by persons or entities other than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. Any misdirection or other error in the transmission of this information is not and shall not be considered a waiver of any applicable privileges.

From: Roebuck, Stephanie M. [mailto:SRoebuck@kblaw.com]

Sent: Monday, June 30, 2008 10:09 AM

To: Jessica Stein Cc: Jennifer Frankola Subject: RE: Rifkin Papers

Sure, I will do the same if that is okay with you.

Stephanie Roebuck

Stephanie M. Roebuck Keane & Beane, P.C. 445 Hamilton Avenue, 15th Floor White Plains, New York 10601

914-946-4777 tel 914-946-6868 fax

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

The Information transmitted herein may contain privileged and/or confidential material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon information herein by persons or entities other than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. Any misdirection or other error in the transmission of this information is not and shall not be considered a waiver of any applicable privileges.

From: Jessica Stein [mailto:jessica@mayerslaw.com]

Sent: Monday, June 30, 2008 10:08 AM

To: Roebuck, Stephanie M. Cc: Jennifer Frankola Subject: Rifkin Papers

Dear Ms. Roebuck:

I am writing on behalf of Mayerson & Associates to respectfully request your permission to send you a copy of the papers we are serving today in regards to the Rifkin case via e-mail as well as by fed-ex (the fed-ex copy should arrive at your office tomorrow).

Please let me know at your earliest convenience. Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Jessica Stein Legal Intern Mayerson & Associates

Jennifer Frankola

From:

Gordon, Eric L. [EGordon@kblaw.com]

Sent:

Monday, June 30, 2008 5:02 PM

To:

Mauricio Bertone

Cc:

Jennifer Frankola

Subject:

RE: 6-30-08_R.R. and D.R. obo M.R. v. Scarsdale UFSD_Motion

Attachments: Memorandum of Law.pdf; Rule 56.1 Statement.pdf; Mendelson Affidavit.pdf; Exhibit A.pdf;

Notice of Motion.pdf

Here are the documents in support of the Scarsdale UFSD's motion for summary judgment. They have been filed on ECF and Stephanie Roebuck is forwarding a hard copy by Federal Express.

Eric L. Gordon Keane & Beane, P.C. 445 Hamilton Avenue White Plains, NY 10601 (914) 946-4777 (telephone) (914) 946-6868 (facsimile)

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

The Information transmitted herein may contain privileged and/or confidential material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon information herein by persons or entities other than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. Any misdirection or other error in the transmission of this information is not and shall not be considered a waiver of any applicable privileges.

From: Mauricio Bertone [mailto:mauricio@mayerslaw.com]

Sent: Monday, June 30, 2008 4:48 PM To: Gordon, Eric L.; Roebuck, Stephanie M.

Cc: 'Jennifer Frankola'

Subject: FW: 6-30-08_R.R. and D.R. obo M.R. v. Scarsdale UFSD_Motion

----Original Message----

From: Jennifer Frankola [mailto:jennifer@mayerslaw.com]

Sent: Monday, June 30, 2008 4:39 PM

To: sroebuck@kblaw.com Cc: 'Mauricio Bertone'

Subject: 6-30-08_R.R. and D.R. obo M.R. v. Scarsdale UFSD_Motion

Hi Stephanie:

Attached, please find plaintiffs Cover Letter to Judge Maas, Notice of Motion for Modified De Novo Review, Affidavit of Service, Affidavit of Gary S. Mayerson with attached Exhibits A-E, and Plaintiffs-Appellants Memorandum of Law on Modified De Novo Review with Table of Contents and Authorities.

I have also sent you copies via Fed Ex. They should arrive shortly. If you have any problems opening the attached documents, please feel free to call me.

Thanks.

Jennifer

Jennifer Frankola, M.A., J.D. Mayerson and Associates 330 West 38th Street Suite 600 New York. New York 10018 212.265.7200 212.265.1735 Fax PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

The Information transmitted herein may contain privileged and/or confidential material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon information herein by persons or entities other than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. Any misdirection or other error in the transmission of this information is not and shall not be considered a waiver of any applicable privileges.

Mauricio Bertone Mayerson and Associates 330 West 38th Street Suite 600 New York, New York 10018 212.265.7200 212.265.1735 Fax PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

The Information transmitted herein may contain privileged and/or confidential material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon information herein by persons or entities other than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. Any misdirection or other error in the transmission of this information is not and shall not be considered a waiver of any applicable privileges.

	AX 12122651735	5	MAYERSON and ASSO	CIATES	Ø ₀₀₁
Case 1:08-cv-0024	7-DOJ-FIVI	Document 33-5		Page 6 of 12	
		*****	****		
		*** FAX TX REPOR	T ***		
		*********	****		
		TRANSMISSION (OK		
	JOB NO.		0758		
	DESTINATION	N ADDRESS	19149466868		
	PSWD/SUBADD	DRESS			
	DESTINATION	1 ID			
	ST. TIME		07/30 16:43		
	USAGE T	1	06' 24		
	PGS.		36		
	DEGIII M		677		l l

330 W. 38th Street Suite 600 New York, New York 10018 (212) 265-7200 (212) 265-1735 (fax)

Mayerson & Associates

Fax

□ Urgo	ent	X For Review	☐ Please Comment	☐ Please Reply	☐ Please Recycle
	U.F.	S.D.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Re:	R.R	. & D.R. o/b/o M.R.	v. Scarsdale CC:		
Phone	:		Date:	July 30, 2008	
Fax:	Fax	(914) 946-686 8	Page	s: 36 (Including this	cover)
To:	Ste	phanie Roebuck, Es	cq. From	Kate Goldwasser,	Legal Intern

Please see following.

Confidentiality Note: The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only /or the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are herby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this telecopy is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the United States Postal Ser vice. Thank you.

330 W. 38th Street Suite 600 New York, New York 10018 (212) 265-7200 (212) 265-1735 (fax)

Mayerson & Associates



To:	Ste	phanie Roebuck, Es	sq.	From:	Kate Goldwasser,	Legal Intern
Fax:	Fax	(914) 946-686 8		Pages:	36 (Including this	cover)
Phone	e: 			Date:	July 30, 2008	
Re:	R.R	. & D.R. o/b/o M.R.	v. Scarsdale	CC:		
	U.F	.S.D.				
□ Urg	jent	X For Review	□ Please C	omment	☐ Please Reply	☐ Please Recycle
Pleas	e see	e following.		4. 1		

Confidentiality Note: The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only /or the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are herby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this telecopy is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the United States Postal Ser vice. Thank you.

MAYERSON & ASSOCIATES

PHONE (212) 265-7200 • FAX (212) 265-1735 • EMAIL: ADMIN@MAYERSLAW.COM

WWW.MAYERSLAW.COM

June 30, 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Honorable Frank Maas, U.S.M.J. United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 20A New York, NY 10007-1312 Fax: (212) 805-6724

Re: R.R. and D.R. o/b/o M.R. v. Scarsdale UFSD, 08-CV-0247 (BSJ) (FM)

Dear Judge Maas:

We represent the plaintiffs-appellants in the above referenced matter. Enclosed, please find courtesy copies of plaintiff-appellants' Notice of Motion for Modified De Novo Review, Affidavit of Service, Affidavit of Gary S. Mayerson, and Plaintiffs-Appellants' Memorandum of Law on Modified De Novo Review which were served on defendant's counsel via e-mail and Federal Express and filed via ECF.

Similarly, courtesy copies were sent to the Clerk of Court and will be sent to Judge Barbara S. Jones, U.S.D.J., once fully briefed.

Jennifer Frankola

(Awaiting Admission to NYS Bar)

J. Michael McMahon, Clerk of Court (via hand delivery) U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York

500 Pearl Street

New York, New York 10007

Stephanie Roebuck, Esq. and Eric L. Gordon, Esq. (Counsels for Defendant)

445 Hamilton Ave., 15th Floor White Plains, New York 10601

MAYERSON & ASSOCIATES

PHONE (212) 265-7200 • FAX (212) 265-1735 • EMAIL: ADMIN@MAYERSLAW.COM

WWW.MAYERSLAW.COM

July 1, 2008

VIA HAND DELIVERY

J. Michael McMahon Clerk of Court U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

Re: R.R. and D.R. o/b/o M.R. v. Scarsdale UFSD, 08-CV-0247 (BSJ) (FM)

Dear Clerk McMahon:

We represent the plaintiffs-appellants in the above referenced matter. As per Hon. Barbara S. Jones' rules, enclosed, please find courtesy copies of plaintiff-appellants' Notice of Motion for Modified De Novo Review, Affidavit of Service, Affidavit of Gary S. Mayerson, and Plaintiffs-Appellants' Memorandum of Law on Modified De Novo Review which were served on defendant's counsel via email and Federal Express and filed via ECF.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions. Thank you.

Jennifer Frankola

Sincerely,

(Awaiting Admission to NY Bar)

MAYERSON & ASSOCIATES

330 WEST 38TH STREET, SUITE 600 • NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10018 PHONE (212) 265-7200 • FAX (212) 265-1735 • EMAIL: ADMIN@MAYERSLAW.COM

WWW.MAYERSLAW.COM

June 30, 2008

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Stephanie Roebuck, Esq. Eric L. Gordon, Esq. 445 Hamilton Ave., 15th Floor White Plains, New York 10601

Re: R.R. and D.R. o/b/o M.R. v. Scarsdale UFSD, 08-CV-0247 (BSJ) (FM)

Dear Ms. Roebuck and Mr. Gordon:

Enclosed, please find copies of plaintiff-appellants' Notice of Motion for Modified De Novo Review, Affidavit of Service, Affidavit of Gary S. Mayerson, and Plaintiffs-Appellants' Memorandum of Law on Modified De Novo.

Please do not hesitate to call if you have any questions.

Jennifer Frankola

Sincerely,

(Awaiting Admission to NYS Bar)

		fedex.co	m 1.800.GoFedEx 1	1.800.463.3339		500
Store your addresses at fedex.com Simplify your shipping. Manage your account. Access all the tools you need.	Oth Mut Main State NY 21P 1060 O365520289	Company Lune Star K. Recipient's LLLS Human K. Address We sennet deliver to P.O. tokes or P.O. 21P codes. Address To request a package by hold are specific fedfs location, print fedfs address here.	Character Milling Reference Riflan - Tederal Character March Mark Lochnel Sq. Phone (City NEW YORK ASSOCIATES ON JOHN & ASSOCIATES ON JOHN ASSOCIATES ON JO	2 28	Express US Airbill Teaching 8626 4692 9929
Residential Delivery Signature Options If you require a signature, check Direct or Indirect. No Signature Bequired Someone at recipients Someone at recipients address, remone a defency. For applicax signature to defency, for applicax signature to defence the recipient address remone at recipient address, remone a recipient address, remone a remove the recipient address, remone a remove the recipient address remove again for defence, for applicax.	Total Packages Total Weight Total Declared Value* Total Packages Total Weight Total Declared Value*	Yes yes Dry Ice Orles, s. UN 1885 Onles, s. Un 1	mat Pak. mat Pak. *Declared value *And registerinty Pak. *Box *Declared value *Declared value *And registerinty Pak. *Box *Declared value *Declared v	riosight Service FedEx 2Day Freight Freight Second business day. Thursday schlowerd on Monday who serviced. selected who delevered on Monday whose SATURDAY Delivery is selected.	FedEx Priority Overnight High tubrisas moring - Fredex FedEx Standard Overnight Microsoft Standard Delivery NOT evaluable. Second business depth "Thursday Higher Standard Overnight FedEx Express Saver Thomas Standard Delivery NOT evaluable. FedEx FedEx Devernight FedEx Standard Overnight FedEx Standard Overnight FedEx Standard Overnight FedEx FedEx Standard Overnight FedEx Fed	m. 1215
.010	NO POUCH NEE	NG TO THE PACKAGE.	IIXIITA BEO18 Y40	ND RETAIN THIS C	PULL A	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

R.R. and D.R. o/b/o M.R.,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

--against--

08 CV 0247 (BSJ) (FM)

SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT,

Defendant-Appellee.

I, Jennifer M. Frankola, being duly sworn, deposes and says that I am law clerk (pending admission to the NYS Bar) employed by Mayerson & Associates, counsel for plaintiff and that I am over the age of eighteen years; that on the 30th day of June at 330 West 38th Street, in the city of New York, I timely served copy of the Notice of Motion for Modified *De Novo* Review on Appeal, Affidavit of Gary S. Mayerson (with attached exhibits A, B, and C), and Plaintiffs-Appellants' Memorandum of Law on Defendant-Appellee via e-mail and Federal Express as consented by defendant's counsel, Stephanie Roebuck, Esq., to the following address: Keane & Beane, P.C., 445 Hamilton Avenue, 15th Floor, White Plains, New York 10601 and sroebuck@kblaw.com.

Jennifer M. Frankola

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th, day of June, 2008.

Notary Public

LEON ISIDORE BEHAR
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02BE4781657

Qualified in Nassau County Commission Expires June 30, 20

CASREF, ECF

U.S. District Court United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (Foley Square) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:08-cv-00247-BSJ-FM

R.R. et al v. Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict

Assigned to: Judge Barbara S. Jones

Referred to: Magistrate Judge Frank Maas

Cause: 42:1983 Civil Rights Act

Date Filed: 01/11/2008 Jury Demand: None

Nature of Suit: 440 Civil Rights: Other

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

R.R. represented by Gary S Mayerson

> Mayerson & Associates 330 West 38 Street

Suite 600

New York, NY 10018 (212) 265-7200 Fax: (212) 265-1735

Email: gary@mayerslaw.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Plaintiff

M.R.

on behalf of

D.R. represented by Gary S Mayerson

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict represented by Eric Lewis Gordon

> Keane & Beane, P.C. 445 Hamilton Avenue

15th Floor

White Plains, NY 10601

(914) 946-4777 Fax: (914) 946-6868

Email: egordon@kblaw.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text

01/11/2008	1	COMPLAINT against Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict. (Filing Fee \$ 350.00, Receipt Number 638034)Document filed by R.R., D.R(mbe) (mbe). (Entered: 01/14/2008)
01/11/2008		SUMMONS ISSUED as to Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict. (mbe) (Entered: 01/14/2008)
01/11/2008		Magistrate Judge Frank Maas is so designated. (mbe) (Entered: 01/14/2008)
01/11/2008		Case Designated ECF. (mbe) (Entered: 01/14/2008)
01/25/2008	2	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE of Summons and Complaint. Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict served on 1/17/2008, answer due 2/6/2008. Service was accepted by Jeffrey Martin, Treasurer. Document filed by R.R.; D.R (Mayerson, Gary) (Entered: 01/25/2008)
02/01/2008	3	ORDER REFERRING CASE TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE. Order that case be referred to the Clerk of Court for assignment to a Magistrate Judge for General Pretrial (includes scheduling, discovery, non-dispositive pretrial motions, and settlement). Referred to Magistrate Judge Frank Maas. (Signed by Judge Barbara S. Jones on 1/31/2008) (jmi) (Entered: 02/04/2008)
02/06/2008	4	ANSWER to Complaint. Document filed by Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict.(Gordon, Eric) (Entered: 02/06/2008)
02/15/2008	<u>5</u>	ORDER FOR CONFERENCE PURSUANT TO RULE 16(a). that this action is scheduled for an initial Case Management Conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(a), on 3/27/2008 at 02:00 PM in Courtroom 20A, 500 Pearl Street, New York, NY 10007 before Magistrate Judge Frank Maas. The parties must be prepared to discuss the subjects that are set forth in subdivisions (b) and (c) of Rule 16. (Signed by Magistrate Judge Frank Maas on 2/15/08) (pl) (Entered: 02/15/2008)
03/26/2008	6	ENDORSED LETTER addressed to Magistrate Judge Frank Maas from Gary S. Mayerson dated 3/24/08 re: Counsel for Plaintiffs-appellants request a briefing schedule as follows: Parties respective motions for modified de novo review due by 6/1/08. Parties' opposing papers due by 7/3/08. Parties reply papers due by 7/25/08. ENDORSEMENT: This schedule is adopted and the previously scheduled conference is cancelled. All future communications shall bear the full docket number of the case. (Motions due by 6/1/2008. Responses due by 7/3/2008, Replies due by 7/25/2008.) (Signed by Magistrate Judge Frank Maas on 3/26/08) (tro) (Entered: 03/27/2008)
05/27/2008	7	ENDORSED LETTER addressed to Magistrate Judge Frank Maas from Gary Mayerson dated 5/23/08 re: Request that the new briefing schedule be: 6/15/08 for parties' respective motions for modified de novo review; 6/17/08 parties' opposing papers; 8/8/08 parties' reply papers. ENDORSEMENT: Application granted. (Cross Motion due by 6/15/2008. Motion due by 6/15/2008. Responses due by 7/17/2008. Replies due by 8/8/2008.) (Signed by Magistrate Judge Frank Maas on 5/27/08) (cd) (Entered: 05/28/2008)
05/29/2008	8	ENDORSED LETTER addressed to Magistrate Judge Frank Maas from Gary Mayerson dated 5/29/08 re: Request to modify the briefing schedule as follows:

		6/16/08 parties respective motions for modified de novo review; 7/17/08 parties' opposing papers; 8/8/08 parties' reply papers. ENDORSEMENT: Application granted. (Motions due by 6/16/2008. Responses due by 7/17/2008. Replies due by 8/8/2008.) (Signed by Magistrate Judge Frank Maas on 5/29/08) (cd) (Entered: 05/30/2008)
06/11/2008	9	ENDORSED LETTER addressed to Magistrate Judge Frank Maas from Jessica Stein dated 6/10/08 re: Counsel writes to request a further 2 week extension of time to allow the parties to serve their respective motions for modified de novo review. This extension would change the last set deadline from June 16, 2008 to June 30, 2008 and would affect the briefing schedule as follows: June 30, 2008-parties' respective motions for modified de novo review; July 30, 2008-parties' opposing papers; August 21, 2008-parties' reply papers. ENDORSEMENT: Approved, but there will be no further extensions unless supported by an affidavit by a member of the firm establishing extraordinary circumstances. (Signed by Magistrate Judge Frank Maas on 6/10/08) (mme) (Entered: 06/11/2008)
06/30/2008	10	CROSS MOTION for Summary Judgment. Document filed by Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict. Return Date set for 8/22/2008 at 09:00 AM.(Gordon, Eric) (Entered: 06/30/2008)
06/30/2008	11	AFFIDAVIT of Michael Mendelson in Support re: 10 CROSS MOTION for Summary Judgment Document filed by Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A)(Gordon, Eric) (Entered: 06/30/2008)
06/30/2008	12	RULE 56.1 STATEMENT. Document filed by Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict. (Gordon, Eric) (Entered: 06/30/2008)
06/30/2008	13	MEMORANDUM OF LAW in Support re: 10 CROSS MOTION for Summary Judgment Document filed by Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict. (Gordon, Eric) (Entered: 06/30/2008)
07/01/2008	14	FILING ERROR - WRONG DOCUMENT TYPE SELECTED FROM MENU - NOTICE of Motion for Modified DeNovo Review on Appeal. Document filed by R.R., D.R. (Mayerson, Gary) Modified on 7/1/2008 (KA). (Entered: 07/01/2008)
07/01/2008	<u>15</u>	FILING ERROR - WRONG DOCUMENT TYPE SELECTED FROM MENU - MOTION for Summary Judgment (Memorandum of Law on Modified De Novo Review). Document filed by R.R., D.R.(Mayerson, Gary) Modified on 7/1/2008 (KA). (Entered: 07/01/2008)
07/01/2008	<u>16</u>	FILING ERROR - DEFICIENT DOCKET ENTRY - AFFIDAVIT of Gary S. Mayerson in Support re: 15 MOTION for Summary Judgment (Memorandum of Law on Modified De Novo Review). Document filed by R.R., D.R (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Exhibit D, # 5 Exhibit E)(Mayerson, Gary) Modified on 7/1/2008 (KA). (Entered: 07/01/2008)
07/01/2008	<u>17</u>	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE of Notice of Motion for Modified De Novo Review on Appeal, Affidavit of Gary Mayerson and Exhibits A,B,C,D,E, and Memorandum of Law on Modified De Novo Review served on Scarsdale Union Free School District on 6/30/08. Service was accepted by Stephanie

		Roebuck, Esq Document filed by R.R., D.R (Mayerson, Gary) (Entered: 07/01/2008)
07/01/2008		***NOTE TO ATTORNEY TO RE-FILE DOCUMENT - DOCUMENT TYPE ERROR. Note to Attorney Gary S. Mayerson to RE-FILE Document 14 Notice (Other). Use the document type Motion Miscellaneous Relief found under the document list Motions. (KA) (Entered: 07/01/2008)
07/01/2008		***NOTE TO ATTORNEY TO RE-FILE DOCUMENT - DOCUMENT TYPE ERROR. Note to Attorney Gary S. Mayerson to RE-FILE Document 15 MOTION for Summary Judgment (Memorandum of Law on Modified De Novo Review). Use the document type Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion found under the document list Replies, Opposition and Supporting Documents. (KA) (Entered: 07/01/2008)
07/01/2008		***NOTE TO ATTORNEY TO RE-FILE DOCUMENT - DEFICIENT DOCKET ENTRY ERROR. Note to Attorney Gary S. Mayerson to RE-FILE Document 16 Affidavit in Support of Motion. ERROR(S): Link to incorrect filing of document #15. (KA) (Entered: 07/01/2008)
07/01/2008	<u>18</u>	MOTION Notice of Motion for Modified De Novo Review on Appeal. Document filed by R.R., D.R(Mayerson, Gary) (Entered: 07/01/2008)
07/01/2008	<u>19</u>	MEMORANDUM OF LAW in Support re: 18 MOTION Notice of Motion for Modified De Novo Review on Appeal Document filed by R.R., D.R (Mayerson, Gary) (Entered: 07/01/2008)
07/01/2008	20	AFFIDAVIT of Gary S. Mayerson in Support re: 18 MOTION Notice of Motion for Modified De Novo Review on Appeal Document filed by R.R., D.R (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Exhibit C, # 4 Exhibit D, # 5 Errata E)(Mayerson, Gary) (Entered: 07/01/2008)
07/02/2008	21	AMENDED MOTION to Amend/Correct 18 MOTION Notice of Motion for Modified De Novo Review on Appeal Document filed by R.R., D.R (Mayerson, Gary) (Entered: 07/02/2008)
07/30/2008	22	FILING ERROR - DEFICIENT DOCKET ENTRY - AFFIDAVIT of Eric L. Gordon in Opposition re: 10 CROSS MOTION for Summary Judgment. Document filed by Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A - C)(Gordon, Eric) Modified on 8/6/2008 (jar). (Entered: 07/30/2008)
07/30/2008	23	MEMORANDUM OF LAW in Opposition re: 21 AMENDED MOTION to Amend/Correct 18 MOTION Notice of Motion for Modified De Novo Review on Appeal Document filed by Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict. (Gordon, Eric) (Entered: 07/30/2008)
07/31/2008	<u>24</u>	AFFIDAVIT of Eric L. Gordon in Opposition re: <u>21</u> AMENDED MOTION to Amend/Correct <u>18</u> MOTION Notice of Motion for Modified De Novo Review on Appeal Document filed by Scarsdale Union Free School Disrtict. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit A through C)(Gordon, Eric) (Entered: 07/31/2008)
07/31/2008	<u>25</u>	REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW <i>IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR MODIFIED DE NOVO REVIEW</i> . Document filed by R.R., D.R (Mayerson, Gary) (Entered: 07/31/2008)

07/31/2008	<u>26</u>	RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT-APPELLEE'S "RULE 56.1 STATEMENT. Document filed by R.R., D.R (Mayerson, Gary) (Entered: 07/31/2008)	
07/31/2008	27	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE of Reply Memorandum of Law and Plaintiff-Appelant's Response to Defendant-Appellee's Rule 56.1 Statement served on Stephanie Roebuck, Esq. on 7/30/08. Service was made by FEDEX. Document filed by R.R., D.R (Mayerson, Gary) (Entered: 07/31/2008)	
08/07/2008	28	ORDER For the reasons set forth in this order, the court finds that there is no need for such a threshold determination. Consideration of the motion before the court in this case, including consideration of the issue set forth in this order if relevant, shall be made by the court based on the submissions that have been made, applying the appropriate standards. No initial ruling or further submissions by the parties is necessary at this time and the pending motion remains sub judice. (Signed by Judge Barbara S. Jones on 8/7/08) (mme) (Entered: 08/07/2008)	
08/11/2008	29	ENDORSED LETTER addressed to Judge Barbara S. Jones from Stephanie M. Roebuck dated 8/8/08 re: Counsel for defendant requests an adjournment to ensure that all issues, both substantive and procedural are fully addressed in a complete manner. We therefore request this adjournment, until September 8, 2008. ENDORSEMENT: Application GRANTED. So Ordered. (Signed by Judge Barbara S. Jones on 8/8/08) (js) (Entered: 08/11/2008)	
08/12/2008	<u>30</u>	ENDORSED LETTER addressed to Judge Barbara S. Jones from Stephanie M. Roebuck dated 8/8/08 re: Counsel requests a short extension of time, on consent of plaintiffs counsel. Counsel request this adjournment, until September 8, 2008. ENDORSEMENT: Application granted. (Signed by Judge Barbara S. Jones on 8/12/08) (mme) (Entered: 08/12/2008)	

PACER Service Center					
Transaction Receipt					
09/08/2008 13:24:29					
PACER Login:	ma2012	Client Code:	rifkin		
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	1:08-cv-00247-BSJ- FM		
Billable Pages:	4	Cost:	0.32		